

MEMORANDUM FOR: DCI
DDCI

STAT Attached is the latest draft statement for your use with HPSCI on Wednesday. [] has attached a note to it explaining the thought behind the statement which came out of our meeting on Friday. You probably will want to look this over carefully before your meeting with General Stilwell this afternoon.

STAT []

Date 14 June 1982

FORM NO. 101 USE PREVIOUS EDITIONS

GPO : 1981 O - 345-783

| | | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----------|-----------|
| TRANSMITTAL SLIP | | DATE |
| TO: | [] | STAT |
| ROOM NO. | BUILDING | |
| REMARKS: | | |
| <p><i>This has been approved by the DDO.</i></p> <p>[] STAT</p> <p>ARMY review(s) completed.</p> | | |
| FROM: | | |
| ROOM NO. | BUILDING | EXTENSION |

FORM NO. 1 FEB 55 241

REPLACES FORM 36-8 WHICH MAY BE USED.

(47)

☐ UNCLASSIFIED☐ INTERNAL
USE ONLY☐ CONFIDENTIAL☐ SECRET

ROUTING AND RECORD SHEET

SUBJECT: (Optional)

Proposed Draft Statement for HPSCI Hearing on Military Coordination

FROM:

DC/PCS

EXTENSION

NO.

DATE

14 June 1982

TO: (Officer designation, room number, and building)

DATE

RECEIVED

FORWARDED

OFFICER'S
INITIALS

COMMENTS (Number each comment to show from whom to whom. Draw a line across column after each comment.)

1.

C/PCS

13

jun

2.

3.

4.

ADDO

5.

6.

7.

DDCI

8.

9.

10.

11.

DC/PCS

12.

13.

14.

15.

ARMY review(s) completed.

Herewith a proposed draft statement. This should be shown to Dick Stilwell on 14 June when he calls on the DCI. The statement deal with most of the broader questions raised by HPSCI and incorporates the guidance provided by the DDCI. It is designed to take advantage of the Committee's concerns and agreement by Secdef that coordination needs to be improved. We are not necessarily or completely on the defensive here. This is an opportunity to pressure DoD to put its fragmented intelligence house in order, scale [] down and improve DoD support to CIA operations. The Committee can be expected to take us to task for some disconnects in our arrangements below the level of the DDO and DCI for monitoring the clandestine collection activities of the military. [] comes to mind in this regard and was raised with [] and me by the Committee. The staffers are under the impression that clandestine technical collection by military components such as ISA and Army Ops staff are not as thoroughly coordinated by us as are clandestine HUMINT operations. Should this be more centralized?

FORM
2-42

610

USE PREVIOUS
EDITIONS☐ SECRET☐ CONFIDENTIAL☐ INTERNAL
USE ONLY☐ UNCLASSIFIED

Next 3 Page(s) In Document Exempt